

Dear Laura Notson,

Per our telephone conversation this morning I have taken the liberty to write out the following questions that I would like Laura Horner to answer to.

Enclosed please find a copy of the court transcript for your review with this letter.

In reference to page 4 line 20, I want to know why Laura corrects the Judge and says that the defendant will be pleading to Count 2, not count 1 and explains, "it's just different."

1. What is the difference between Count 1 and Count 2 that Laura is referring to? The Judge went on to explain that both counts are class X felonies and both felonies are classified as Predatory Criminal Sexual Assault of a child. *Over the next four to five pages the judge asks the defendant if he is sure that he wants to give up all of his opportunities to have a jury trial or a bench trial, but he insists that he is guilty and agrees to waive his rights to any type of a trial – why wasn't I asked?* In addition to this first question I want to know why Laura agreed to drop the other counts of aggravated criminal sexual abuse in exchange for the guilty plea? Are you saying that sexual assault doesn't fall in the same "criminal" category as sexual abuse? And, if so, how can someone sexually assault a person without sexually abusing them?

In reference to page 4 line 22 the judge confirms to Laura that the minor child was under 13 and no bodily harm, which Laura says, line 23, "right, judge." And, on page 9 line 9 the court asks for factual basis.

2. Why would Laura state to the court that, "if witnesses were sworn to testify, witnesses would testify that the victim had been out and had been given alcohol by an individual in the neighborhood", and attack her own defense? Isn't the defense attorney supposed to attack the prosecution's case by pointing out the flaws of the witness or in this case the victim? Why did Laura omit the statement that my daughter made at the police department when she spoke to the Detective which would have illustrated how the defendant calculated the events that led to the rape against my daughter. On the night of the day in question, the defendant noticed the vulnerable state that my daughter and her fourteen year old cousin were in upon entering their home when he lured them into the back bedroom and furnished them with additional alcohol. Michael mixed hard liquor and juice in clear colored glasses that he provided them with – in which to intoxicate them both for the purpose of then conducting a mind altering drinking game, called "truth or dare" that would ultimately lead to him engaging in intercourse with my virgin eleven year old child after he instructed the two unsuspecting minor girls – of whom were trusting family members to commit sexual acts with one another as they became more and more intoxicated as he continuously refilled their glasses until he could successfully execute his plan which was to have intercourse with my eleven year old daughter.

Towards the end of the night, the defendant had my daughter pinned up against the wall with the tip of his penis inserted into the tip of her vagina while his older sister walked into the room and interrupted his rape because they were making too much noise.

3. How did Laura go from the victim was drinking at the neighbors and then she gave the defendant a blow job? What about everything that transpired in between? Laura was supposed to prosecute the defendant not persecute the victim.

Why didn't Laura tell the judge what really happened by explaining the facts of the case as they were reported to the detective which would have revealed to the court that my daughter was RAPED? Laura's opening statement or her disclosure of the facts omitted the actual facts of the case that would have served justice on behalf of my daughter and the People of the State of Illinois. Laura served an injustice to anyone who has a child or anyone who cares about protecting the innocence of a child or the mental and emotional well being of a child who has been a victim of a violent crime including his or her family. Laura knew that Michael had given both minor girls hard liquor and mixed it with juice, she also knew that he gave them clear colored glasses to drink out of while he used a colored drinking glass for himself – that way he could pour less alcohol in his glass than he poured in their glasses without them "catching on to the fact that he's not getting as drunk as they are". Laura knew that the "other" ~~cousin~~ that she barely mentioned and conveniently forgotten to mention again, on page 9 line 19 and 20, was Michael's ~~fourteen year old sister~~.

4. I want to know why Laura didn't tell the Judge that Michael used his own ~~sister~~ as a ploy to carry out his twisted sexual fantasy which he carefully orchestrated to have intercourse with my eleven year old daughter?

On page 10 line 17, Laura states that she explained to me that penetration is not bodily harm and then the judge notes for the purpose of the factual basis that, the victim had the penis in the mouth and he also sexually penetrated her vaginally – when Laura said, line 24, "no, no, he attempted to, but..."

5. But, what? What didn't Laura want the Judge to know? That Michael didn't actually succeed in his attempt to have full blown intercourse with the eleven year old child of whom he was perpetrating a criminal sexual act of rape against by way of using hard liquor to severely intoxicate her fragile eleven year old brain to the point where she would lose complete control of her senses, and at which point he would gain complete control over her mind in order to instruct her to perform sexual acts that she would otherwise never consent to, BUT that his older sister happened to walk in the room and interrupted him, then, according to the police report the two of them scattered. Is that the attempt Laura was referring to?

Because then Laura rushes to Michael's defense as soon as the Judge questions her reason to accept the minimum, on page 11 line 7, and responds by defamation of my daughter's character to say, "Judge the victim had been drinking alcohol and there are some issues also."

6. WHAT DID SHE MEAN, THE VICTIM WAS DRINKING ALCOHOL AND THERE ARE SOME ISSUES ALSO? How does that excuse her reason to accept the minimum sentence for someone who was attempting to steal the virginity of an eleven-year old child?
7. Did Laura use the tactics that the defendant used to manipulate my daughter in which to gain her consent by way of intoxication on the night in question in which to have intercourse with her – as the means that Laura then used in court to attack Jasmine in which to make it look like the defendant was the innocent party? Because when Jasmine had been given "a drink" from the neighbor she never could have ever suspected – not even suspected that her cousin, Michael would take advantage of his "opportunity" (seeing that she had a drink and had already done something that she could get in trouble for) to get her even more drunk so that he could have sex with her until she was too far gone to know what she was doing anymore and steal her consent? Why did Laura feel that it was necessary for her to hang on so desperately to the fact that my daughter had been give a "drink" from the neighbor –the drink from the neighbor was not inspired from the motivation to rape her. But, the alcohol that was given to her by her cousin, who was on trial WAS.
8. WHY was Laura determined to bash my daughter so the defendant could get the minimum sentence? "Do you realize that Michael told my daughter not to say anything because then she would get in trouble? Do you realize that she thought that what had happened that night was her fault and that's why it took her a year to tell me? Do you realize that because Laura Horner did not come to the aid of the other minor child who was also victimized by Micheal's instructions to perform sexual acts in front of him with my daughter actually ended up in a mental institution for cutting her self? Then, two years later she dropped out of high school at sixteen, got pregnant had a baby and now lives at home with her mother. The same mother who never fought for her justice because then she would have had to file charges against her son? Now, Michael's mother and Michael, as well as his siblings, including his ~~half sister~~ that he victimized have all been convinced by his mother to believe that he's in jail because I'm a bitch and I went after him like he was some kind of a murderer (all of which is written in a hand written letter that his mother wrote to my mother that I gave to Laura the morning of the trial, but she neglected to show to the judge), and, according to the court transcript, Michael's family can all be rest assured that he's not guilty of the slander that I have accused him of because the judge even told Michael in court - that even though a child, i.e. my daughter behaves inappropriately that he should do what's right, because he's the adult. Its not that he did anything wrong! It's that my daughter behaved inappropriately!
9. Who can I blame for this injustice and slander against my child? Laura Horner gave the court the impression that my daughter was drunk because she had been given alcohol by the neighbor and stated that there were other issues – with whom? but she never mentioned the defendant's issues that being his intent to rape my daughter by way of resorting to a calculating and perverted mind altering advice – "drinking among family members in a home you can trust". No. Laura only told the Judge that he had a misdemeanor criminal damage to property that we was on conditional discharge for and retail theft that he received supervision for – page 3, lines 5-8. "Michael wasn't so bad. It was Jasmine, the victim who was drinking."

3

My last question and the most crucial one of all is this; I want to know what Laura said or neglected to say to the judge in chambers for the judge to return to the courtroom and omit the vaginal penetration from her findings of the case, (in reference to page 20 line 7) and then to make that awful statement to him, by implying that in some way my daughter was the one who behaved inappropriately and made sexual advances against him that he should have been the one to say no? (line 23 through page 21 lines 1-6).

In addition to these questions, I would also like to review the other issues I have with Laura Horner in regards to her negligence in providing me with or even informing me of the Victim's of Violent Crimes Act. According to her statement to the ARDC Laura lied to them by saying that Arielle (excuse the spelling if incorrect) gave me a copy of the Act when I met him in his office. As I stated to you over the phone, I never met Arielle and he has never met me, nor has he met my daughter nor she him, therefore it is impossible that Arielle could have ever given me a copy of the Act when in fact I never met him in his office or anywhere else. But, it does prove that she admits that she didn't give me a copy of it.

Call me upon your review of these questions and keep me posted on any of the answers you receive. Please note that I will also address my concern to other sources until I am satisfied with the outcome of my investigation to reopen my case against Laura Horner.

It's a crime to have Laura Horner in public office.

Denise Rotheimer

897-546-7445